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 **2012** **State Damage Prevention Grant**

 **Effective dates September 1, thru January 31, 2013**

 **Progress report – September 1, thru January 31, 2013**

The Grant request was based on Elements 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 9. A certain amount of work was projected to be completed for each of the Elements during 2012. This Progress Report, due March 1, 2013, compares the Accomplishments (actual costs by each Element) to the Projections by each Element.

**Element 2 – Comprehensive Stakeholder Support**

This has been accomplished through the participation of the operators, excavators, USAN and the PUCN during the monthly NRCGA meetings.

**Estimate** –4 inspectors attend a monthly NRCGA meeting. A total of 27 hours was projected for this Element. 27 X $40 = $ 1,080 + 25% Fringes or $ 270 = **$1,350.**

**Actual** –Hours spent attendingmonthlyNRCGA meetings equal 13 X $50.0 per hour = **$650.**

**Element 3 – Operator Internal Performance measurement**

The PUCN has developed audit procedures for locator training for the other than LDC operators.

**Estimate** – A total of 27 hours was projected for this Element. 27 X $40 = $1,080 + 25% Fringes or $ 270 = **$1,350.**

**Actual** – Hours spent doing line location audits equal 13 X $50. per hour = **$ 650.**

**Element 4 – Effective Employee Training**

Excavator training has been developed and implemented through the NRCGA by the PUCN Staff and LDC operators.

**Estimate** - Training requirements would be 41 hours for 12 months. 41 X $40 = $ 1,640 + Fringes at 25% or $ 410**. = $2,050.**

**Actual** – This was mainly contractor training on the One-Call Law**.** Hours spent training equal 20 hours X $50.0 per hour = **$1,000.**

**Element 6 – Dispute Resolution**

A procedure has been developed for the enforcement of NRS 455, Nevada’s One-Call Statute. Verbal warnings are given in the field. If the warnings are not heeded a formal warning letter will be sent. This is the beginning of the dispute resolution process.

**Estimate** – 47.5 hours was projected for the year for this Element. 47.5 X $40 = $1,900 plus Fringes at 25% or $ 475. = **$2,375.**

**Actual** – This was separate from Enforcement actions. Hours spent in Dispute Resolution equal 23 hours X $50.0 per hour = **$1,150.**

**Element 7 – Enforcement**

Nevada’s One-Call Statute, NRS 455 was revised during the 2007 legislative session to include enforcement by the PUCN Staff. Since that time the PUCN Staff, with the help of PUCN Staff Counsel, has developed procedures for enforcing NRS 455, the One-Call Statute.

**Estimate** – 1,083 hours will be spent on Enforcement. 1,083 X $40 = $43,320 with fringes at 25% = $10,830 for a total of **$54,150.**

**Actual** –Enforcement hours spent equal 542 hours X $50.0 per hour = **$27,100.**

**Element 8 – Use of technology to improve the locating process**

The NRCGA is continually looking at new locating technology.

**Estimate -**  40 hours was estimated, 40 X $40 = $ 1,600 and 25% Fringes = **$2,000./2=$1,000.**

**Actual** – Technology review hours spent equal 0 hours X $50.0 per hour = **$0.**

**Element 9 – Data analysis to continually improve program effectiveness.**

The primary measure of program effectiveness would be the number of dig-ins by year. Nevada has been tracking this data since 2002. In 2004 Nevada experienced its highest number of dig-ins at 1,522. The number for 2012 is projected to be 270.

**Estimate** - 27 hours was estimated, 27 X $40 = $1,080 and 25% Fringes = $270. for a total of **$1,350.**

**Actual** – This is mainly review and compilation of reported damages. Damage Prevention Program Review hours spent equal 13 hours X $50.0 per hour = **$650.**

**Total for all Elements based on the Grant Awarded = $62,625/2 = $31,312.50**

**Total actual for this period = $31,200.**

**Payroll 23,400.**

**Fringes 7,800.**

**Quantifiable Metrics/Measures of Effectiveness (Item 2 under Article IX, Section 9.01 Project Report: “Where the output of the project can be quantified, a computation of the cost per unit of output.”)**

**Element 2** – Foster support and partnership with stakeholders. **This is done through the NRCGA at monthly meetings.**

**Element 3** – Reviewing the adequacy of internal performance measures**. Auditing Procedures for locating have been developed. Audits of Operators locating procedures are on-going.**

**Element 4** – Support a Damage Prevention Education Program for Industry Stakeholders. **One-Call training has been developed by the NRCGA and is provided upon request or required due to the violation complaint procedure.**

**Element 6** – Resolving disputes to define State authority’s role. **Net-working with excavators has been developed where contractors contact PUCN Pipeline Safety Inspectors and they respond if disputes may be pending.**

**Element 7** – Laws and regulations of the damage prevention Process. **Procedures for Enforcement have been developed. Oral Warnings first, if violations continue PUCN Staff Counsel issues a Warning Letter or Citation if required.**

**Element 8** - Foster and promote the use of Improving Technologies. **Technology is discussed and reviewed through the NRCGA.**

**Element 9** – Review the Effectiveness of Damage Prevention Programs.

**Effectiveness**

**The purpose of Nevada’s One-Call Program is Damage Prevention. Nevada Pipeline Safety Program (“PSP”) started focusing on damage prevention for the Local Distribution Companies (“LDC’s”) in 2004, beginning with audits of the procedures implemented pursuant to 49 CFR 192.614. The focus of these audits was office procedures, how the One-Call Center notifications were transmitted to the line locators and the LDC’s specific locating procedures. In 2004 the LDC’s were required to start maintaining a Dig-In database, by Cause. The data base was designed by the PSP Staff. During 2004 the number of gas dig-ins was 1522.**

**During 2006, damage prevention for all operators became the focus when the PSP started full time participation in the Nevada Regional Common Ground Alliance (“NRCGA”). Since then, the PSP has been the driving force of the NRCGA but in 2010 the NRCGA reached its own maturity as the PSP moved more to a consulting role.**

**During the 2007 legislative session, Nevada revised the One-Call Statute, NRS 455. One of the revisions was to include enforcement by the PSP. This allowed the PSP to police all operators and excavators. As stated, the primary purpose of Nevada’s One-Call Program is to reduce damages in the state, especially gas damages.**

**Subsequent to the 2007 legislative session, enforcement was adopted by the PSP and the initial enforcement procedures were established by PUCN Staff Counsel during 2008.**

**During 2008, six enforcement actions took place. Four warning letters were sent to contractors and two formal enforcement proceedings were processed where fines were levied. One-Call training was provided as required. From January 1, 2008 thru December 31, 2008 dig-ins of gas facilities were reduced from 767 to 550.**

**During 2009 twenty-two enforcement actions took place. Of these, eleven warning letters were sent. These were primarily sent to excavators but one action involved the Nevada Department of Transportation (“NDOT”). Over 500 NDOT employees were trained. Eleven formal enforcement proceedings were processed wherein fines were levied against excavators and One-Call training was performed. From January 1, 2009 thru December 31, 2009 dig-ins, of gas facilities, were reduced from 550 to approximately 345.**

**During 2010, Nevada again focused on One-Call enforcement. Nevada’s One-Call Statute requires the Work Area to be marked in white paint. The pipeline inspectors constantly patrol job sites, if it becomes obvious that a One-Call ticket has not been called in because there is no white paint or facility marks, the pipeline inspectors will stop and investigate.**

**During 2010, additional enforcement procedures were developed by PUCN Staff Counsel that further refined the process. Fourteen enforcement actions took place. Of these, eleven warning letters were sent. Again these were primarily sent to excavators. One-Call training was provided as required. Three formal enforcement proceedings were processed wherein fines were or will be levied against excavators. One-Call training was performed. From January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010 dig-ins, of gas facilities, were reduced from 345 to 273.**

**During 2011 seven enforcement actions took place. Of these all were warning letters. One-Call training was provided in all cases. Gas Damages for 2011 were higher than Projected because Arizona Pipeline Company, doing PVC pipe replacement for Southwest Gas, was not conscientious when working around the PVC pipe being replaced and had over 30 damages in just 6 months. PSP Staff addressed the matter, cameras started to be used to determine service and stub locations and training was required. In fact, the training was provided during a one-day work stoppage that the PSP Staff formally requested because of the high damage rate.**

 **During 2012 twelve enforcement actions took place. Eight were Warning Letters, two were Formal Enforcement proceedings wherein fines were levied, two additional Formal Enforcement Actions are still being considered for instances that occurred late in 2012. One-Call training was provided as required.**

 **From January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012 dig-ins of gas facilities were reduced from 292 to 270 (projected). Additionally, lack of a One-Call ticket is no longer the main reason for Dig-Ins, Mis-Marks are surfacing as the next group the PSP will focus upon. Line location procedures have been developed by the PSP and reviews of non-LDC operators were started during 2011.**

**The Effectiveness, based on the actual number of damages, of Nevada’s One-Call Program from the year 2000 thru 2012 is set forth below.**

 **Year Gas Damages Year Gas Damages**

**2000 1,472 2006 1,208**

**2001 1,389 2007 767**

**2002 1,495 2008 550**

**2003 1,498 2009 345**

**2004 1,522 2010 273**

**2005 1,225 2011 292**

 **2012 270 (Projected)**

**Issues, Problems or Challenges (Item 3 under Article IX, Section 9.01 Project Report: “The reasons for slippage if established objectives were not met. “)**

**No issues.**

**Mid-term Financial Status Report**

**Forms 425 and 270 will be submitted to the GA and GOTR electronically.**

**Plans for Next Period (Remainder of Grant)**

**Continue with Enforcement as in first term.**

**Requests of the AOTR and/or PHMSA**

**No issues.**